The Impact of the Federal AMT on New York City Taxpayers

Karen Schlain
Office of Tax Policy
NYC Department of Finance

Presented at FTA Conference on Revenue Estimating and Tax Research
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma
October 2005

New York City and the Federal AMT

- Description of the Federal AMT
- The Federal AMT: New York City v. U.S.
- Estimated Impact of the Federal AMT on NYC Taxpayers: 2005
 - Number of Taxpayers Affected
 - Federal AMT Liability
- Estimated Impact of the Federal AMT on NYC Taxpayers: 2005 v. 2010
 - Number of Taxpayers Affected
 - Federal AMT Liability
 - Total Federal PIT Liability
 - Federal AMT Participation Rates by:
 - · Filing Status
 - · Number of Dependents
- Options for Reform Estimated Impact on NYC Taxpayers: 2010
 - Eliminating the Federal AMT and the Federal Deduction for State/Local Taxes
 - Eliminating State/Local Taxes as a Preference Under the Federal AMT

Description of the Federal AMT

- Alternative minimum taxable income allows no:
 - Deduction for state and local taxes
 - Deduction for miscellaneous expenses
 - Personal exemptions
- The AMT especially impacts taxpayers who are:
 - Within AGI ranges of \$150K to \$1M
 - Married
 - Parents of multiple dependents
 - Residing in jurisdictions with high state or local taxes

The Federal AMT: New York City v. U.S.

Table I

Year	Estimated AMT Participation Rate	Estimated Percent of AMT Returns	AGI on
	U.S. NYC	U.S. NYC	
2005	4.1% 7.5%	13.7% 28.1%	
2010	30.6% 31.0%	49.7% 53.0%	

Source for U.S. data: Urban-Brookings Tax Policy Center

Estimated Impact of the Federal AMT on NYC Taxpayers Number of Taxpayers Affected 2005

Table II

Federal AGI	Number of Taxpayers	# AMT P Payers	AMT articipation Rate	Percent of AMT Payers	Cumulative Percent of AMT Payers
Under \$40,000	1,206,193	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$40,000-\$50,000	285,053	1,154	0.4%	0.6%	0.6%
\$50,000-\$60,000	199,447	3,115	1.6%	1.7%	2.4%
\$60,000-\$75,000	209,211	8,884	4.2%	4.9%	7.3%
\$75,000-\$100,000	200,426	17,324	8.6%	9.6%	16.8%
\$100,000-\$125,000	105,303	20,250	19.2%	11.2%	28.0%
\$125,000-\$150,000	60,004	17,055	28.4%	9.4%	37.4%
\$150,000-\$200,000	57,977	36,374	62.7%	20.1%	57.5%
\$200,000-\$300,000	42,260	38,732	91.7%	21.4%	78.9%
\$300,000-\$500,000	26,263	24,378	92.8%	13.5%	92.4%
\$500,000-\$1,000,000	16,288	10,266	63.0%	5.7%	98.1%
\$1,000,000 & Up	12,130	3,503	28.9%	1.9%	100.0%
All	2,420,555	181,035	7.5%	100.0%	

For 2005: Median AGI for AMT payers is \$181,720 v. \$40,156 for all taxpayers.

Estimated Impact of the Federal AMT on NYC Taxpayers Federal AMT Liability 2005

Table III (\$ thousands)

			Cumulative	Mean AMT		Mean Regular	
Federal AGI	AMT	Percent of AMT	Percent of AMT	(actual \$)	Regular PIT	PIT (actual \$)	AMT/Total
Under \$40,000	\$(0.0%	0.0%	\$0	\$1,584,024	4 \$1,313	0.0%
\$40,000-\$50,000	\$91	8 0.1%	0.1%	\$795	\$1,153,672	2 \$4,047	0.1%
\$50,000-\$60,000	\$2,94	9 0.3%	0.4%	\$947	\$1,143,286	\$5,732	0.3%
\$60,000-\$75,000	\$9,10	8 0.9%	1.3%	\$1,025	\$1,625,984	4 \$7,772	0.6%
\$75,000-\$100,000	\$24,03	8 2.3%	3.6%	\$1,388	\$2,173,764	4 \$10,846	1.1%
\$100,000-\$125,000	\$37,58	1 3.7%	7.3%	\$1,856	\$1,652,396	\$15,692	2.2%
\$125,000-\$150,000	\$34,80	3 3.4%	10.7%	\$2,041	\$1,236,732	2 \$20,611	2.7%
\$150,000-\$200,000	\$91,76	4 9.0%	19.7%	\$2,523	\$1,643,039	\$28,340	5.3%
\$200,000-\$300,000	\$200,41	6 19.6%	39.2%	\$5,174	\$1,918,408	\$45,395	9.5%
\$300,000-\$500,000	\$214,12	25 20.9%	60.1%	\$8,784	\$2,170,833	\$82,656	9.0%
\$500,000-\$1,000,000	\$142,01	1 13.9%	74.0%	\$13,833	\$2,612,514	4 \$160,397	5.2%
\$1,000,000 & Up	\$266,00	1 26.0%	100.0%	\$75,933	\$9,889,429	\$815,254	2.6%
All	\$1,023,7	15 100.0%	j	\$5,655	\$28,804,079	9 \$11,900	3.4%

Estimated Impact of the Federal AMT on NYC Taxpayers Number of Taxpayers Affected 2005 v. 2010

Table IV

	2005	5	2010		Percent Change from 2005 to 2
•		AMT		AMT	
		Participation	Pa	rticipation	
Federal AGI (2005)	# AMT Payers	Rate	# AMT Payers	Rate	# AMT Pave
Under \$25,000	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
\$25,000-\$30,000	0	0.0%	2,334	1.2%	
\$30,000-\$40,000	0	0.0%	23.851	6.6%	
\$40,000-\$50,000	1,154	0.4%	79,926	28.0%	6823.4%
\$50,000-\$60,000	3,115	1.6%	80.365	40.3%	2479.6%
\$60,000-\$75,000	8,884	4.2%	126,296	60.4%	1321.7%
\$75,000-\$100,000	17,324	8.6%	144,105	71.9%	731.8%
\$100,000-\$125,000	20,250	19.2%	99,510	94.5%	391.4%
\$125,000-\$150,000	17,055	28.4%	57,503	95.8%	237.2%
\$150,000-\$200,000	36,374	62.7%	56,179	96.9%	54.4%
\$200,000-\$300,000	38,732	91.7%	41.672	98.6%	7.6%
\$300,000-\$500,000	24,378	92.8%	25,043	95.4%	2.7%
\$500,000-\$1,000,000	10,266	63.0%	10.707	65.7%	4.3%
\$1,000,000 & Up	3,503	28.9%	3,792	31.3%	8.3%
			<u> </u>	1	
All	181,035	7.5%	751,283	31.0%	315.0%

For 2005: Median AGI for AMT payers is \$181,720 v. \$40,156 for all taxpayers. For 2010: Median 2005 AGI for AMT payers is \$83,803.

Estimated Impact of the Federal AMT on NYC Taxpayers Federal AMT Liability 2005 v. 2010

Table V (\$ thousands)

	2005			_	2010			Change from 2005 to 201		
Federal AGI (2005)		cent Total (a	Mean AMT ctual \$)			ercent of Total	Mean AMT (actual \$)	AMT	Mean AMT	
Under \$25,000	\$0	0.0%	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0			
\$25,000-\$30,000	\$0	0.0%	\$0		\$1,687	0.0%	\$723			
\$30,000-\$40,000	\$0	0.0%	\$0		\$14,198	0.4%	\$595			
\$40,000-\$50,000	\$918	0.1%	\$795		\$70,184	2.1%	\$878	7543.9%	10.4%	
\$50,000-\$60,000	\$2,949	0.3%	\$947	:	\$104,979	3.1%	\$1,306	3459.4%	38.0%	
\$60,000-\$75,000	\$9,108	0.9%	\$1,025	:	\$226,399	6.6%	\$1,793	2385.8%	74.9%	
\$75,000-\$100,000	\$24,038	2.3%	\$1,388	:	\$378,718	11.1%	\$2,628	1475.5%	89.4%	
\$100,000-\$125,000	\$37,581	3.7%	\$1,856	:	\$361,806	10.6%	\$3,636	862.7%	95.9%	
\$125,000-\$150,000	\$34,803	3.4%	\$2,041	:	\$309,864	9.1%	\$5,389	790.3%	164.1%	
\$150,000-\$200,000	\$91,764	9.0%	\$2,523	:	\$482,926	14.1%	\$8,596	426.3%	240.7%	
\$200,000-\$300,000	\$200,416 1	9.6%	\$5,174	:	\$539,802	15.8%	\$12,95	169.3%	150.3%	
\$300,000-\$500,000	\$214,125 2	0.9%	\$8,784		\$365,631	10.7%	\$14,600	70.8%	66.2%	
\$500,000-\$1,000,000	\$142,011 1	3.9%	13,833	:	\$180,588	5.3%	\$16,86	27.2%	21.9%	
\$1,000,000 & Up	\$266,001 2	6.0%	75,933	:	\$380,484	11.1%	\$100,32	43.0%	32.1%	
Al	\$1,023,71510	00.0%	\$5,655	\$3	3,417,266	00.0%	\$4,549	233.8%	-19.6%	

Estimated Impact of the Federal AMT on NYC Taxpayers Total Federal PIT Liability 2005 v. 2010

Ta	able	VI
(\$	thous	ands)

Federal AGI (2005)	AMT	Regular PIT	AMT/ Total
Under \$25,000	\$0	\$367,975	0.09
\$25,000-\$30,000	\$0	\$315,609	0.09
\$30,000-\$40,000	\$0	\$900,439	0.09
\$40,000-\$50,000	\$918	\$1,153,672	2 0.19
\$50,000-\$60,000	\$2,949	\$1,143,286	0.39
\$60,000-\$75,000	\$9,108	\$1,625,984	4 0.69
\$75,000-\$100,000	\$24,038	\$2,173,76	4 1.19
\$100,000-\$125,000	\$37,581	\$1,652,396	5 2.29
\$125,000-\$150,000	\$34,803	\$1,236,732	2 2.79
\$150,000-\$200,000	\$91,764	\$1,643,039	5.39
\$200,000-\$300,000	\$200,416	\$1,918,40	9.59

	2005			2010					Percent Change from 2005 to 201		
Federal AGI (2005)	AMT	Regular PIT	AMT/ Total	AMT		Regular PIT	AMT/ Total	AMT	Regular PIT	AMT/ Total	
Under \$25,000	\$0	\$367,97	5 0.0%		\$0	\$508.2	19 0.0%		63%	0%	
\$25,000-\$30,000	\$0	\$315,60		¢1	687		96 0.4%		48%		
\$30,000-\$40,000	\$0	\$900,43		\$14,		\$1,303,0			45%	0%	
\$40,000-\$50,000	\$918	\$1,153,67		\$70.		\$1,641,7		75449			
\$50,000-\$60,000	\$2,949	\$1,143,28		\$104,		\$1,605,0		34599			
\$60.000-\$75.000	\$9,108	\$1,625,98		\$226.		\$2,240.8		23869		1547%	
\$75,000-\$100,000	\$24,038	\$2,173,76		\$378,		\$3,022,6		14759		918%	
\$100,000-\$125,000	\$37,581	\$1,652,39		\$361,			9413.8%	8639			
\$125,000-\$150,000	\$34,803	\$1,236,73		\$309.			5915.6%	7909			
\$150,000-\$200,000	\$91,764	\$1,643,03		\$482.			6017.9%	4269		238%	
\$200,000-\$300,000	\$200,416	\$1,918,40		\$539.			5117.5%	1699		85%	
\$300,000-\$500,000	\$214,125	\$2,170,83		\$365,		\$2,776,0		719	28%	30%	
\$500,000-\$1,000,000	\$142,011	\$2,612,51	4 5.2%	\$180,		\$3,374,5		27%	29%	-1%	
\$1,000,000 & Up	\$266,001	\$9,889,42	9 2.6%	\$380.	484	\$12,677,6		43%	28%	11%	
. ,, = ==	,	,,		****		. ,,-		1			
All	\$1,023,715	\$28,804,07	9 3.4%	\$3,417	,266	\$38,414,0	63 8.2%	2349	6 33%	138%	

Estimated Impact of the Federal AMT on NYC Taxpayers Federal AMT Participation Rates by Filing Status 2005 v. 2010

Table VII

	2005		2010			
	AMT Participat	ion Rate	AMT Participati	on Rate		
Federal AGI (2005)	Other than Joint	Joint	Other than Joint	Join		
Under \$25,000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.09		
\$25,000-\$30,000	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	5.29		
\$30,000-\$40,000	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	10.29		
\$40,000-\$50,000	0.2%	1.0%	31.7%	15.69		
\$50,000-\$60,000	1.6%	1.4%	39.2%	43.29		
\$60,000-\$75,000	5.6%	1.9%	44.0%	89.59		
\$75,000-\$100,000	10.6%	6.5%	50.2%	96.29		
\$100,000-\$125,000	19.8%	18.8%	89.3%	98.29		
\$125,000-\$150,000	28.8%	28.2%	96.1%	95.79		
\$150,000-\$200,000	72.0%	58.1%	95.1%	97.89		
\$200,000-\$300,000	92.4%	91.3%	98.2%	98.89		
\$300,000-\$500,000	85.7%	96.0%	88.5%	98.59		
\$500,000-\$1,000,000	47.6%	69.4%	48.1%	73.09		
\$1,000,000 & Up	30.3%	28.4%	31.4%	31.29		
All	4.3%	18.7%	20.6%	57.19		

Estimated Impact of the Federal AMT on NYC Taxpayers Federal AMT Participation Rates by Number of Dependents 2005 v. 2010

Table VIII

	200		2010				
	AMT_Partic	pation Rate	AMT_Partic	pation Rate			
Federal AGI (2005)	Two Dependents Fewer			More than Two Dependents			
Under \$25,000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.09			
\$25,000-\$30,000	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.69			
\$30,000-\$40,000	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%	36.29			
\$40,000-\$50,000	0.4%	0.9%	25.1%	66.99			
\$50,000-\$60,000	1.6%	1.0%	37.6%	80.19			
\$60,000-\$75,000	3.5%	12.4%	57.6%	93.09			
\$75,000-\$100,000	7.4%	23.0%	69.5%	98.69			
\$100,000-\$125,000	17.1%	43.3%	94.0%	100.0			
\$125,000-\$150,000	25.3%	68.3%	95.6%	98.69			
\$150,000-\$200,000	60.3%	93.3%	96.9%	97.29			
\$200,000-\$300,000	91.2%	98.8%	98.5%	100.0			
\$300,000-\$500,000	92.5%	97.8%	95.1%	98.99			
\$500,000-\$1,000,000	62.4%	75.2%	64.7%	84.69			
\$1,000,000 & Up	29.3%	25.5%	31.3%	31.09			
All	7.0%	14.9%	29.2%	58.99			

Estimated Impact of Eliminating the Federal AMT and the Federal Deduction for State/Local Taxes New York City Taxpayers 2010

Table IX (\$ thousands)

-		Baseline Forec	ast		Without A Without D for State Taxe	eduction /Local	Change inTo	otal PIT
Federal AGI (2005)	AMT	Regular PIT	Total (Regular PIT+AMT)	Percent of Total	Regular PIT	Percent of Total	Amount	Percent
Under \$25,000	\$0	\$598,219	\$598,21	9 1.4%	\$606,2	01 1.4%	\$7,982	1.3%
\$25,000-\$30,000	\$1,687	\$467,396	\$469,08	3 1.1%	\$478,5	30 1.1%	\$9,448	2.0%
\$30,000-\$40,000	\$14,198	\$1,303,007	\$1,317,20	5 3.1%	\$1,338,3	44 3.1%	\$21,139	1.6%
\$40,000-\$50,000	\$70,184	\$1,641,712	\$1,711,89	5 4.1%	\$1,708,4	05 3.9%	-\$3,491	-0.2%
\$50,000-\$60,000	\$104,979	\$1,605,062	\$1,710,04	1 4.1%	\$1,686,1	74 3.9%	-\$23,867	-1.4%
\$60,000-\$75,000	\$226,399	\$2,240,834	\$2,467,23	3 5.9%	\$2,400,6	50 5.5%	-\$66,583	-2.7%
\$75,000-\$100,000	\$378,718	\$3,022,664	\$3,401,38	1 8.1%	\$3,300,3	06 7.6%	-\$101,075	-3.0%
\$100,000-\$125,000	\$361,806	\$2,261,794	\$2,623,60	0 6.3%	\$2,520,0	80 5.8%	-\$103,520	-3.9%
\$125,000-\$150,000	\$309,864	\$1,677,859	\$1,987,72	3 4.8%	\$1,887,8	89 4.3%	-\$99,834	-5.0%
\$150,000-\$200,000	\$482,926	\$2,216,060	\$2,698,98	7 6.5%	\$2,534,4	90 5.8%	-\$164,497	-6.1%
\$200,000-\$300,000	\$539,802	\$2,551,251	\$3,091,05	3 7.4%	\$2,952,7	37 6.8%	-\$138,316	-4.5%
\$300,000-\$500,000	\$365,631	\$2,776,001	\$3,141,63	2 7.5%	\$3,245,4	92 7.5%	\$103,861	3.3%
\$500,000-\$1,000,000	\$180,588	\$3,374,564	\$3,555,15	2 8.5%	\$3,950,5	60 9.1%	\$395,408	11.1%
\$1,000,000 & Up	\$380,484	\$12,677,640	\$13,058,12	4 31.2%	\$14,825,3	26 34.1%	\$1,767,202	2 13.5%
AI	\$3,417,266	\$38,414,063	\$41,831,32	9 100.0%	\$43,435,1	86100.0%	\$1,603,857	7 3.8%

Estimated Impact of Eliminating State/Local Taxes as a Preference Under the Federal AMT New York City Taxpayers 2010

Table X (\$ thousands)

Elimination of

	Basel	ine Forecas	st		/Local Ta T Prefere			Change in AMT				
Federal AGI (2005)	Number of AMT Payers	,	Mean AMT ctual \$)	Number of AMT Payers	AMT	Mean AMT (actual \$)	Number of AMT Payers	Percent	Amount	Percent	Percent Change in Mean AMT	
Under \$25,000	0	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	\$0	0	0.0%	\$0	0.0%		
\$25,000-\$30,000	2,334	\$1,687	\$723	2,119	\$1,342	\$633	-215	-9.2%	-\$346	-20.5%	-12.4%	
\$30,000-\$40,000	23,851	\$14,198	\$595	20,291	\$9,857	\$486	-3,560	-14.9%	-\$4,341	-30.6%	-18.4%	
\$40,000-\$50,000	79,926	\$70,184	\$878	64,858	\$50,056	\$772	-15,068	3 -18.9%	-\$20,128	-28.7%	-12.1%	
\$50,000-\$60,000	80,365	\$104,979	\$1,306	65,519	\$69,875	\$1,066	-14,84	6 -18.5%	-\$35,104	-33.4%	-18.4%	
\$60,000-\$75,000	126,296	\$226,399	\$1,793	92,952	\$146,336	\$1,574	-33,34	4 -26.4%	-\$80,063	-35.4%	-12.2%	
\$75,000-\$100,000	144,105	\$378,718	\$2,628	95,214	\$200,878	\$2,110	-48,890	-33.9%	-\$177,839	-47.0%	-19.7%	
\$100,000-\$125,000	99,510	\$361,806	\$3,636	44,003	\$87,371	\$1,986	-55,50	7 -55.8%	-\$274,435	-75.9%	-45.4%	
\$125,000-\$150,000	57,503	\$309,864	\$5,389	25,064	\$52,889	\$2,110	-32,440	-56.4%	-\$256,975	-82.9%	-60.8%	
\$150,000-\$200,000	56,179	\$482,926	\$8,596	33,975	\$93,907	\$2,764	-22,20	4 -39.5%	-\$389,019	-80.6%	-67.8%	
\$200,000-\$300,000	41,672	\$539,802 \$	12,953	27,436	\$117,728	\$4,291	-14,23	6 -34.2%	-\$422,074	-78.2%	-66.9%	
\$300,000-\$500,000	25,043	\$365,631 \$	14,600	10,629	\$50,941	\$4,792	-14,41	4 -57.6%	-\$314,690	-86.1%	-67.2%	
\$500,000-\$1,000,000	10,707	\$180,588 \$	16,867	1,704	\$16,904	\$9,922	-9,003	-84.1%	-\$163,684	-90.6%	-41.2%	
\$1,000,000 & Up	3,792	\$380,484\$1	100,328	891	\$28,349	\$31,825	-2,902	-76.5%	-\$352,135	-92.5%	-68.3%	
All	751,283	\$3,417,266	\$4,549	484,654	\$926,432	\$1,912	(266,628	3) -35.5%	-\$2,490,834	-72.9%	-58.0%	
·												